IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Writ Petition No.7657 of 2007

Sangli District Primary Teachers
Co-operative Bank Ltd., Sangli Petitioner

Vs.

Bank Employees Union, Kolhapur Respondent

Mr.M.S.Topkar for petitioner.

Mr.Yogesh Paranjape i/b. Paras Kuhar & Associates
for respondent.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE, J.

November 30, 2007.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Topkar, the learned counsel for the petitioner. I am satisfied that the impugned order passed below Exhibit U-2 does not take away the right of the petitioner to retrench the surplus employees and the restriction put is that without following the due process of law, retrenchment shall not be effected and, therefore, the said order, prima facie, does not cause any irreparable loss as such.

. In the facts of this case, it would be in the interest of both the parties that the pending

complaint is decided expeditiously and preferably within a fixed period. It is, therefore, directed that Complaint (ULP) No.65 of 2006 shall be heard and decided as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of six months from receipt of writ of this Court. Undoubtedly the complaint will have to be decided on its own merits and without being influenced by any observations made in the interlocutory orders.

. Petition is disposed off in terms of the above directions.

(B.H.MARL